

1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3

RAFAELA AGUILLON DELOZANO,

No. C 13-03726 CW

4

Plaintiff,

ORDER DIRECTING
PLAINTIFF TO INFORM
COURT WHETHER SHE
CONSENTS TO A
MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR
ALL PURPOSES

5

v.

6

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

7

Defendant.

8

/

9

In cases initially assigned to a district judge, the parties
10 may consent at any time to reassignment of the case to a magistrate
11 judge for all purposes, including entry of final judgment. See
12 Civil L.R. 73-1(b). Defendant Commissioner of Social Security has
13 consented to have a magistrate judge conduct all further
14 proceedings in this case. Accordingly, Plaintiff is DIRECTED to
15 advise the Court, no later than May 21, 2014, the date her motion
16 for summary judgment is due, as to whether she consents to have a
17 magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings in the instant
18 action.¹ For Plaintiff's convenience, a consent form is attached
19 hereto; forms are also available at <http://www.cand.uscourts.gov>,
20 in the "Forms" section.

21

IT IS SO ORDERED.

22

Dated: 4/23/2014

23


CLAUDIA WILKEN

United States District Judge

24

25

26

27

28

¹Normally, the Court would direct the parties to so inform the Court in their Joint Case Management Statement filed in connection with a case management conference. Because the instant action involves a review of an administrative record, however, a case management conference has not been scheduled.